1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
789	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
10	Patrick K Gibson,		
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C17-5187 RBL-DWC	
12	v.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION	
13	Washington State Department of Corrections et al,	Noting Date: June 2, 2017	
14	Defendants.		
15 16			
17	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States		
18	636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4. Defendants filed the pending motion to dismiss on March 21, 2017. Dkt. 5. After the Motion to Dismiss was filed, the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion to Amend (Dkts. 9, 12) and		
19			
20			
21			
22	An amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d		
23 24	1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). The original compla	int is "treated thereafter as non-existent." Loux v.	
44 I	Al .		

1	Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967) overruled on other grounds by Lacey v. Maricopa County,	
2	693 F.3d 896 (9th Cir. 2012). Defendants' Motion to Dismiss attacks the Original Complaint,	
3	which will be "non-existent" because Plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint.	
4	Dkt. 12. Accordingly, the undersigned recommends Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 5) be	
5	denied as moot.	
6	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties shall have	
7	fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P.	
8	6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of <i>de novo</i>	
9	review by the district judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Accommodating the time limit	
10	imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on June	
11	2, 2017, as noted in the caption.	
12	Dated this 10 th day of May, 2017.	
13		
14	David W. Christel	
15	United States Magistrate Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		